

Honorable Marc L. Barreca  
Chapter 7  
Hearing Date: May 18, 2012  
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Hearing Place: Courtroom 7105  
Response Date: May 11, 2012

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

In re

ADAM R. GROSSMAN,

Debtor.

No. 10-19817-MLB

OBJECTION BY JILL BORODIN TO  
MOTION FOR ABANDONMENT OF  
1697 STRAUSS LANE AND 773 METRO  
WAY TO THE DEBTOR

Jill Borodin, a creditor herein, by and through counsel, Steven J. Reilly of Crocker Law Group PLLC, objects to Debtor's motion for an order abandoning 1697 Strauss Lane and 773 Metro Way in Redding, CA, to the Debtor, as follows.

The Debtor's motion is difficult to comprehend and cites no legal authority. Assumedly, Debtor is bringing an 11 U.S.C. § 554(b) motion. Section 554 provides that the court *may* order the trustee to abandon any property of the estate that is burdensome to the estate or that is of inconsequential value and benefit to the estate. *11 U.S.C. § 554(b)* (emphasis added).

OBJECTION BY JILL BORODIN TO MOTION FOR  
ABANDONMENT OF 1697 STRAUSS LANE AND 773  
METRO WAY TO THE DEBTOR - 1

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

[www.crockerlaw.com](http://www.crockerlaw.com)

1 An order compelling abandonment is “the exception, not the rule.” *Yack v. Wash.*  
2  
3 *Mut. Bank (In re Yack)*, 2009 Bankr. LEXIS 4554 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Feb. 18, 2009). The  
4  
5 Debtor must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the property is burdensome or of  
6  
7 inconsequential value to the estate. *Vu v. Kendall (In re Vu)*, 245 B.R. 644 (B.A.P. 9th Cir.  
8  
9 *Cal. 2000*). Nothing in the Bankruptcy Code requires that a trustee demonstrate in advance  
10  
11 of attempting a sale that the sale price will exceed all costs and encumbrances, and absent an  
12  
13 attempt by the trustee to churn property worthless to the estate just to increase fees,  
14  
15 abandonment should rarely be ordered. *Id. at 647-648* (citations omitted).  
16  
17

18  
19 Here, the Debtor seems to be arguing that the Strauss Lane and Metro Way  
20  
21 properties are burdensome to the estate, and that Debtor has brought this motion because  
22  
23 he wishes to protect his ex-wife from possible negative consequences to her credit. There  
24  
25 are two main problems with this argument.  
26  
27

28  
29 First, Debtor presents a number of figures that allegedly demonstrate that there is  
30  
31 no equity in the properties. However, it is the Debtor’s burden to prove that the  
32  
33 properties are a burden to the estate and Debtor does not present a scintilla of  
34  
35 documentation or evidence to support any of his assertions of value or benefit to the  
36  
37 estate.  
38  
39

40  
41 Additionally, the speculative analysis that Debtor provides is also incomplete and  
42  
43 thus impossible to accurately evaluate. For example, the Debtor states that there is  
44  
45 \$119,775 in ‘Phantom U.S. Income Tax Liability’ because Strauss Lane was purchased in  
46  
47

1 1989, and has an adjusted basis of \$18,000<sup>1</sup>. However, capital gains tax is reduced and  
2  
3 adjusted basis is raised by any improvements made to the property. As the property was  
4  
5 purchased in 1989, it seems likely that there have been improvements made in the last 20  
6  
7 years. The Debtor also fails to state the adjusted tax basis of the Metro Way property.  
8  
9 Any potential capital gains from the Strauss Lane property could be offset by any  
10  
11 potential capital losses of the Metro Way property. So, even if the Court were to give  
12  
13 weight to Debtor's unsupported value/benefit analysis, it still does not provide sufficient  
14  
15 information to accurately assess the potential benefit of the properties to the bankruptcy  
16  
17 estate.  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 Second, Debtor alleges that the motive behind this motion is to protect his ex-wife  
23  
24 from negative consequences to his credit. This reasoning is suspect at best. Debtor and  
25  
26 his ex-wife have been entrenched in a contentious and litigious dissolution proceeding.  
27  
28 Debtor has violated the provisions of the dissolution decree on countless occasions and  
29  
30 has attempted to use the Bankruptcy Court as a vehicle for his animus. *See Claim 17-1*  
31  
32 (showing writs of garnishment for failure to pay domestic support obligations).  
33  
34

35 Assuming *arguendo* that this Court finds that Strauss Lane and Metro Way were a  
36  
37 burden or of inconsequential value to the bankruptcy estate, this Court should still not  
38  
39 allow these properties to be abandoned to the Debtor. As this Court will note from the  
40  
41 related adversary proceeding, 11-01954-MLB, **the debtor has engaged in 17 post-**  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 <sup>1</sup> Creditor's counsel would like to reiterate that there is no documentation to support any  
of these figures or assertions.

1 **petition transfers.** Rabbi Borodin fears that if the property is abandoned to the Debtor,  
2  
3 he will have the opportunity to engage in further inappropriate and illegal conduct to her  
4  
5 detriment.  
6  
7

8 The Debtor is proposing an offer that affects the rights and duties of Rabbi  
9  
10 Borodin that has not been accepted or even discussed, and is attempting to bind her with  
11  
12 this motion. Debtor has engaged in a litany of improper activity in this bankruptcy case  
13  
14 and allowing the properties to remain under the control of the Trustee will insure that  
15  
16 there is no further malfeasance on the part of the Debtor, at least in relation to these  
17  
18 properties.  
19  
20

21 For the reasons stated above, Debtor's motion should be denied in its entirety.  
22  
23

24 DATED this 11th day of May 2012.  
25  
26

27 CROCKER LAW GROUP PLLC  
28  
29

30 By /s/ Steven J. Reilly  
31 Steven J. Reilly, WSBA #44306  
32 Attorneys for Jill Borodin  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 11, 2012, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be served via CM/ECF on the following parties:

Jennifer L Aspaas on behalf of Creditor PNC Bank, National Association  
[ecf@rcolegal.com](mailto:ecf@rcolegal.com)

Ronald G Brown [rgblaw@nmlink.com](mailto:rgblaw@nmlink.com) , [rgbrown@ecf.epiqsystems.com](mailto:rgbrown@ecf.epiqsystems.com)

William L. Courshon on behalf of US Trustee United States Trustee  
[bill.l.courshon@usdoj.gov](mailto:bill.l.courshon@usdoj.gov) , [Young-Mi.Petteys@usdoj.gov](mailto:Young-Mi.Petteys@usdoj.gov); [Tara.Maurer@usdoj.gov](mailto:Tara.Maurer@usdoj.gov)

Bradley Boswell Jones on behalf of Creditor Wells Fargo Bank NA  
[bbjlaw301@hotmail.com](mailto:bbjlaw301@hotmail.com) , [wmp935@hotmail.com](mailto:wmp935@hotmail.com)

Hugh R. McCullough on behalf of Defendant Lyman Opie [hughmccullough@dwt.com](mailto:hughmccullough@dwt.com) ,  
[elainehuckabee@dwt.com](mailto:elainehuckabee@dwt.com); [seadocket@dwt.com](mailto:seadocket@dwt.com)

Denice E Moewes on behalf of Plaintiff Ronald Brown [dmoewes@aol.com](mailto:dmoewes@aol.com),  
[tessmkent@aol.com](mailto:tessmkent@aol.com)

Gloria Z Nagler on behalf of Spec. Counsel Tsai Law Company [gloria@naglerlaw.com](mailto:gloria@naglerlaw.com) ,  
[david@naglerlaw.com](mailto:david@naglerlaw.com)

Matthew D. O'Conner on behalf of Special Request Law Office of Matthew D. O'Conner  
[pacer@mdolaw.com](mailto:pacer@mdolaw.com) , [dana@mdolaw.com](mailto:dana@mdolaw.com)

John H O'Rourke on behalf of Spec. Counsel Tsai Law Company  
[johnhorourke@comcast.net](mailto:johnhorourke@comcast.net)

Martin L. Smith on behalf of Plaintiff United States Trustee [martin.l.smith@usdoj.gov](mailto:martin.l.smith@usdoj.gov) ,  
[Young-Mi.Petteys@usdoj.gov](mailto:Young-Mi.Petteys@usdoj.gov); [Tara.Maurer@usdoj.gov](mailto:Tara.Maurer@usdoj.gov); [Martha.A.VanDraanen@usdoj.gov](mailto:Martha.A.VanDraanen@usdoj.gov)

United States Trustee [USTPRegion18.SE.ECF@usdoj.gov](mailto:USTPRegion18.SE.ECF@usdoj.gov)

OBJECTION BY JILL BORODIN TO MOTION FOR  
ABANDONMENT OF 1697 STRAUSS LANE AND 773  
METRO WAY TO THE DEBTOR - 5

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

[www.crockerlaw.com](http://www.crockerlaw.com)

1 Jeffrey B Wells on behalf of Debtor Adam Grossman [paralegal@jeffwellslaw.com](mailto:paralegal@jeffwellslaw.com)

2  
3 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

4  
5 EXECUTED this 11th day of May 2012, at Seattle, Washington.

6  
7  
8  
9 /s/ Nancy Hunter  
10 Nancy Hunter, CBA  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

OBJECTION BY JILL BORODIN TO MOTION FOR  
ABANDONMENT OF 1697 STRAUSS LANE AND 773  
METRO WAY TO THE DEBTOR - 6

**CROCKER LAW GROUP** PLLC

720 Olive Way, Suite 1000, Seattle, WA 98101  
P 206-624-9894 F 206-624-8598

[www.crockerlaw.com](http://www.crockerlaw.com)